


What About... Problems with Evolution?

BY DR. DAVID A. DEWITT

#1. Evolution Is Not Observable – Anywhere

Let's take biology, for example. No one has ever observed one creature become a different kind of creature—ever. Frogs have frogs. Fish have fish. Birds have birds. They all reproduce after their kind. Finches with different beaks are still finches. Viruses which become more resilient are still viruses. All animals adapt, that's why it's called "adaptation." The evolutionists call adaptation "micro-evolution," so they can claim evidence for evolution, but only the name has "evolved." None of those adaptations can ever be observed as becoming a different kind of creature. Yeah, I get it. It takes billions of years. Well, then it is, by definition, not observable and therefore not science. Evolution is not observable in the fossil record either. The same is true in all other areas of study. Take for example, astronomy. A big bang 13.8 billion years ago is pretty hard to observe.



#2. Evolution Is Statistically Absurd

It's been compared to a tornado going through a junk yard and producing a perfect car, or monkeys banging on a keyboard and producing Wikipedia. For example, consider:

- The odds of life coming from non-life by chance. (Living cells need oxygen, but oxygen will destroy the elements inside a living cell before it's a living cell.)
- The odds of the earth having the exact right make-up to sustain life by chance, being exactly in the right place in a solar system, with a nearly circular orbit, which is in the right place in the galaxy, with the right magnetic field, and maintaining that for a billion years for life to evolve on it.
- The odds of your body's organs (like your eye) having all its (otherwise useless) parts evolve at the same time by chance, or waiting around a few million years or so for its other crucial parts to evolve.
- The odds of the 6 billion amino acids in your body's DNA lining up correctly in 3 billion matches by chance when you were conceived.

#3. Evolution Beliefs Are Contradictory

- Things never, ever move from chaos to order by themselves (Second Law of Thermodynamics). But evolutionists believe that every orderly thing evolved from chaos, by itself.
- Evolution says life came from non-living matter, but life never, ever comes from non-living matter.
- Evolution says every living creature evolved by

the survival of the fittest. But if, say, the Neanderthals evolved because they were more able to survive than the apes, why didn't they survive? We still have apes. The same would be true of every "gap" between every living creature.

- If the fittest should survive, why should we help people (or animals) that are less able to survive? Compassion is contradictory to evolution.
- Evolution says the earth is 4½ billion years old, but if the earth was only a fraction of that, the seas would be too salty for life, the ocean floor would be full of silt, there would be more dust on the moon, there would be an astronomical number of people on the earth, soil thickness would be thick not thin, and 50,000 years ago, the earth's magnetic field would be so strong, it would destroy all life.

Evolutionists have to believe:

Time + Chance = Anything You Want It To

No matter how unobservable, statically absurd, or contradictory it is, evolutionists will argue that it is true simply because it exists. All "proofs" of evolution are based on assuming evolution is true before you attempt to prove it. Then they add time, time, and more time, to make it sound like it makes sense. But if something cannot be observed happening or statistically cannot happen, then adding more time does not increase the odds of it happening.

OR, *In the beginning, God created the heavens and the earth* (Genesis 1:1).